

Ionosphere and Equatorial Plasma Bubbles (EPB)

Jirapoom Budtho, Ph.D.

Telecommunications Engineering Department Center of Excellence in GNSS & Space Weather King Mongkut's Institute of Technology Ladkrabang (KMITL) Email: jirapoom.bu@kmitl.ac.th



Types of EPB

Post-sunset EPB

- Solar max. periods
- PRE dominates ESF process
- More prevalent on equinocial months

Post-midnight EPB

- Solar min. periods especially solstice months
- The role of gravity waves (GW) is evident
- Occurrence rates increase with decrease of solar flux

Effects of EPB

Ionospheric delay gradient

Aeronautical navigation: Ground-based augmentation system (GBAS)



Effects of EPB

Scintillation

RTK, PPP-RTK



Loss of lock on GNSS signals

Increased
Positioning errors

Effects of EPB

HF, VHF Communication



➔ Communication outage

Could Plasma Bubble Have Doomed U.S. Copter in Afghanistan Battle?

A U.S. military rescue mission in Afghanistan went horribly wrong when a crucial radio message wasn't received.



https://www.nbcnews.com/science/scie nce-news/could-plasma-bubble-havedoomed-u-s-copter-afghanistan-battlen214411

Space Weather Products for Aviation



Guidance on Criteria for SW Providers 3-Technical Criteria Ability to provide the space weather information service, both near real-time and forecast information, as defined in the draft SARPs for Amendment 78 of ICAO Annex 3 Meteorological Service for International Air Navigation. leteorological Service for International -Ability to access observations (own observations and received from other space weather providers) of: **Air Navigation** Coronal mass ejections and high-speed streams Geomagnetic storms Solar radiation storms Solar flares Solar radio bursts Ionospheric activity Ability to produce near real-time and forecast information regarding the potential impacts of space weather using numerical models capable of indesting observation data from multiple sources. d) Ability to produce near real-time and forecast information that meets the proposed functional and performance requirements. Ability to coordinate and harmonize information with the space weather information providers for e) adjacent areas of responsibility, as necessary.

Ability to conduct forecast verification f)

End of 2018 **Early 2019**

Commence production and dissemination of space weather information.

R. Romero, "Establishment of Space Weather Information Service for International Air Navigation," UN/USA Workshop on the International Space Weather Initiative, Boston, 31 July- 4 August 2017.

Instruments: Optical Sky Imagers







Fukushima et al. (JGR, 2015)

7

Instruments: GNSS receivers







Vertical total electron content (VTEC)



Instruments: Ionosonde

lonosonde

f = 2 - 30 MHz

Mae-hea, Chiangmai



Transmit each frequency upward, then measure the returning echoes. Measure critical frequencies E, F layer,, heights

Ionograms

lonograms show traces of echoes (heights) versus frequency (MHz)



Measure

- foE critical frequency@ E layer
- fof2 critical frequency@ f2 layer
- h'F, h'E (heights-
- Spread F (nighttime)
- Sporadic E (daytime)
- etc.



Instruments: Satellites

Beacon satellites

COSMIC/Formosat



Low-earth orbit (LEO) satellites f = 150,400 MHz





Medium-earth orbit (MEO) satellites f = GPS frequency

Radio Occultation

Instruments: Incoherent Scatter Radar



Arecibo ISR system Peru)

Aperture diameter - 305 m Transmit power - 2.5 MW Frequency

- 430 MHz (original)
- 3 MHz to 10 GHz (upgrade)

Measures

- Electron density (Ne)
- Electron temperature (Te)
- Ion temperature (Ti)

http://www.astronomy.com/media/Images/News%20and%20Observing/News/ 2016/03/AreciboObservatory.jpg

Ionospheric observeations over Indonesia



Equatorial Atmosphere Radar (EAR)

operating frequency: 30.8 MHz
peak power: 20 kW
average power: 1.5kw
beam width: 40° (zenith) 12° (azimuth)

Since Feb. 2006

18 Yagis 130m

9 Beams ±54° (azimuth zenith angle of 20°

South Google

Image © 2007 DigitalGlobe

Instruments: Chumphon radar station



Since 2020





Freq. = 39 MHz

Measures the returned echoes and signal strength,

Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR)



Variability of ESF, EPB

Long-term variation

- Pre-reversal enhancement (PRE)
- solar cycle dependent (FI0.7, SSN)
- Medium-term variation
- Short-term or day-to-day variation
 - Due to gravity waves (GW)
 - caused by LSWS in the bottomside F2 layer
 - Not sufficient understanding

Long-term variation

EPB on Global UltravioletImager(GUVI)

D



Annual variation



Indonesia

Seasonal variation was repeated in every year.

EPB Occurrence rate was decreasing year by year

Medium-term variation

- Monthly and seasonal scale
- Longitude dependent/magnetic declination/solar declination angle
- The meridional component of the thermospheric wind (The meridional wind)
 - ightarrow produce asymmetry in the EIA
 - \rightarrow increase of the field line integrated conductivity $\sum_{\Sigma_{P}}$
- ESF can be suppressed by meridional winds but if the PRE is large, then ESF can still occur.

Longitudinal dependence of EPB



[M. Pezzopane et al., Ann. Geophys., 2013]

Longitudinal dependence of EPB

Chiangmai (18.8°N, 98.9°E)

Palmas (10.2°S, 311.8°E)



[M. Pezzopane et al., Ann. Geophys., 2013]

In general, %RSF occurrence at CPN is higher than KTB and CMU

This confirms that the plasma bubble is generated around the magnetic equator and then expand to the higher latitude area.



SEALION Project (2005-Present)

Magnetic Latitude



magnetometer

GBAS Project (2011-Present)





Goals:

- 1. to study statistics of delay gradients in the ionosphere during quiet time and disturbed time
- 2. To evaluate GBAS performance (CAT-I, II, III)

Thai GNSS&Space Weather Information Center

http://iono-gnss.kmitl.ac.th/



Computing Total Electron Content with Data from GNSS receiver





Time delay due to ionosphere





Ionospheric delay:

$$I = c \times \delta t = \frac{40.3}{f^2} TEC \qquad (meter)$$

Ex. At 1.57542 GHz (GPS L1), 16 cm delay per 1 TECU (10¹⁶m⁻²)



Pseudorange (R) can be computed from 'code' or 'carrier phase'





GNSS observation system





สายอากาศ GNSS

RINEX Observation File



2.11 RINEX VERSION / TYPE OBSERVATION DATA G (GPS) tegc 20100ct21 20101216 08:31:59UTCPGM / RUN BY / DATE DPT9 MARKER NAME DPT9 MARKER NUMBER SURVEY DIV DPT SURVEY DIV OBSERVER / AGENCY 462972 LEICA GRX1200PRO 4.12/2.121 REC # / TYPE / VERS LEIAT502 NONE ANT # / TYPE -1136984.0551 6091176.7425 1506867.1803 APPROX POSITION XYZ 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 ANTENNA: DELTA H/E/N 1 1 WAVELENGTH FACT L1/2 4 C1 L1 P2 L2 # / TYPES OF OBSERV Header Section 5.0000 INTERVAL 15 LEAP SECONDS Linux 2.4.20-8 Pentium IV gcc -static Linux 486/DX+ COMMENT 2.10 OBSERVATION DATA G COMMENT SPIDER V2,1,0,2275 2010 10 03 01:00 COMMENT BIT 2 OF LLI FLAGS DATA COLLECTED UNDER A/S CONDITION COMMENT SNR is mapped to RINEX snr flag value [2-9] COMMENT L1&L2: = 25dBHz -> 1; 26-27dBHz -> 2; 28-31dBHz -> 3 COMMENT 32-35dBHz -> 4; 36-38dBHz -> 5; 39-41dBHz -> 6 COMMENT 42-44dBHz -> 7; 45-48dBHz -> 8; >=49dBHz -> 9 COMMENT 2010 GPS 10 3 0 0 0.0000000 TIME OF FIRST OBS END OF HEADER 10 10 3 0 0 0.000000 0 9G03G06G14G16G19G20G23G31G32 21099468.684 110878445.832 8 21099469.730 86398792.12046 20867624.156 109660094.587 8 20867625.033 85449416.37646 23455038.256 123257096.017 5 23455039.217 96044496.36343 20879213.184 109721010.465 8 20879213.310 85496892.40246 **Data Section** 23486877.263 123424370.220 6 23486876.034 96174822.65343 22092147.352 116095001.410 7 22092146.749 90463636.47745 23093968.253 121359611.154 6 23093966.845 94565929.26643 23522597.394 123612102.994 6 23522597.247 96321126.70344 21549251.572 113242062.708 8 21549252.014 88240570.79145 **C1** L1 **P2** L2

How to derive TEC from GPS data?

Step 1

Pseudorange : Carrier phase :

$$STEC_{P} = k(P_{2} - P_{1})$$
$$STEC_{L} = k(L_{1} - L_{2})$$

150

100

Step 2

TEC

TEC,

12

where k=9.5196 for TEC expressed in TECU.

- Since the STEC_P is nosier than STEC₁ but the STEC, still has an initial ambiguity which frequently causes the $\mbox{STEC}_{\mbox{\tiny L}}$ to have negative values.
- Generally, STEC₁ is adjusted to STEC_p level.

$$STEC_{adj} = STEC + B_{S} + B_{R}$$

Satellite
IFB
Step 3
obtained from IGS by CODE
(Center for Orbit Determination in Europe)

$$STEC_{adj} = STEC_{L} + (STEC_{P} - STEC_{L})_{arc}$$

STEC Characteristics



 \bullet The STEC_{P} become noisy and the STEC_{L} jumps at low elevation angle.

• The TEC_L jumps is due to the cycle slips in the carrier phase measurement, often seen at the low elevation angles.





Vertical TEC (VTEC) Conversion



Single Layer Ionospheric Model (SLIM)

$$VTEC = STEC \cos \chi$$

$$\cos \chi = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{R_E}{R_E + h}\cos\theta\right)^2}$$

R_F: Earth's radius (6378.137 km :WGS-84)

- h : height of the iospheric layer
- θ : Satellite's elevation angle
- χ : Zenith angle

STEC : Slant TEC VTEC : Vertical TEC IPP : Ionospheric pierce point



STEC vs. VTEC

STEC = Slant TEC



VTEC = Vertical TEC

STFD STFD

Rate of TEC change index: ROTI

• The ROTI is used for <u>ionospheric irregularities detection</u> at one station for one day, defined by <u>Standard deviation</u> of rate of TEC change with 5-minute windows. In this work, we determined 0.5 TECU/min is the threshold.

$$ROT(i) = STEC(i+1) - STEC(i)$$

$$ROTI = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (ROT(i) - \overline{ROT})^2}$$

- i = Index of time
- N = Window times (minutes)



STEC and ROTI at KMITL station

GPS observation

Bangkok area



22 Sept. 2011 (uncalibrated TEC)



[Tsujii et al., Journal of the Korean Society of Surveying, Geodesy, Photogrammetry and Cartography, 2012]

Geometric Range vs. Pseudorange

Pseudorange: R(t)

$$R(t) = \rho^{j} + offset + noise$$

True Geometric range: ρ^{j}

$$\rho^{j} = \sqrt{\left(X^{j} - X_{i}\right)^{2} + \left(Y^{j} - Y_{i}\right)^{2} + \left(Z^{j} - Z_{i}\right)^{2}}$$

$$R(t) \neq \rho^{j}$$



Pseudorange R

• The pseudorange R(t) at time t (at the receiver)

$$R(t) = c\tau + c\left(b_{s}^{j} - b_{r}\right) + \varepsilon_{mult}(t) + n$$

$$R(t) = \rho(t, t - \tau) + \delta_{ion}(t) + \delta_{tro}(t) + c\left(b_{s}^{j} - b_{r}\right) + \varepsilon_{mult}(t) + n$$

$$R(t) = \frac{\rho(t, t - \tau)}{1} + \frac{\delta_{ion}(t)}{2} + \frac{\delta_{tro}(t)}{3} + \frac{\delta_{tro}(t)}{4} + \frac{\delta_{tro}(t)}{5} + \frac{\delta_{mult}(t)}{5} + \frac{\delta_{mult}(t)}{5$$

 $t = \operatorname{arrival} \text{ time at the receiver}$ $t - \tau = \operatorname{emission} \text{ time from the satellite}$ $\tau = \operatorname{transit} \text{ time}$ $\delta t_u = \operatorname{receiver} \operatorname{clock} \text{ bias}$ $\delta_{ion}(t) \text{ (2) lock}$ $\delta t^s = \operatorname{satellite} \operatorname{clock} \text{ bias}$ $\delta_{tro}(t) \text{ (3) Trecent}$ $n = \operatorname{errors}$ b_r



Klobuchar Model

8 Iono parameters in GPS navigation message

$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$

COS(X)





International GNSS Service

https://igs.org/

Thailand

- Royal Thai Survey Department National Continuous
 Operating Reference Station (CORS) Network Portal
 - https://gnss-portal.rtsd.mi.th/portal/apps/sites/#/gnss
- Land Department
- Department of Public Works and Town Planning
- GISTDA, Thai Meteorology Department, Hydro Informatics Institute)
- KMITL, Chula (IGS), etc.



IGS Station Map and List

Downloadable Prop

Propose a new IGS Site New S

New Site Checklist

IGS NETWORK - 511 STATIONS DISPLAYED Full Screen Views : 🚮 Default Map I Table + ? Leaflet | Tiles © Esri - Esri, DeLorme, NAVTEQ \circ

https://igs.org/network/#station-map-list

CORS stations in Thailand



https://gnss-portal.rtsd.mi.th/portal/apps/sites/#/gnss/app/867489364d274483889292366dea9560

>200 stations